

Large Sample Property



SI AI
Swiss Institute of
Artificial Intelligence

Keith Lee

October 4, 2021

Large-Sample Analysis of OLS I

- Under the weaker assumption of **contemporaneous exogeneity** $\mathbb{E}(u_t|x_t) = 0$ we can obtain consistency of OLS in TS models
- Looks similar to unbiased result but for two key points:

Theorem

(Consistency of OLS for Time Series): Under the assumption of Stationarity and Weak Dependence, TS.1, TS.2, and TS.30, the OLS estimators are consistent

$$plim(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, k.$$

- For this consistency result we will be assuming stationarity and weak-dependence which enables us to apply LLN and CLT.
- Unbiasedness requires TS.3 (strict exogeneity), consistency does not.
- Consistency requires weak dependence but unbiasedness does not.

Large-Sample Analysis of OLS II

- Consider the simple linear regression model:

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + u_t \text{ with}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum (x_t - \bar{x})(u_t - \bar{u})}{\frac{1}{T} \sum (x_t - \bar{x})^2} = \beta_1 + \frac{\text{SampleCov}(x_t, u_t)}{\text{SampleVar}(x_t)}$$

- (Given stationarity and weak dependence) we can use LLN:

$$\text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \sum (x_t - \bar{x})(u_t - \bar{u}) = \text{Cov}(x_t, u_t) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \sum (x_t - \bar{x})^2 = \text{Var}(x_t), \text{ so}$$

$$\text{plim} \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\text{Cov}(x_t, u_t)}{\text{Var}(x_t)}$$

- Clearly for consistency we need $\text{Cov}(x_t, u_t) = 0$. Strict exogeneity, needed for unbiasedness, is not required.

Large-Sample Analysis of OLS for III

- The consistency result provides justification for estimating models where regressors are NOT strictly exogenous: while we cannot have unbiased estimators, they are consistent under fairly weak assumptions.
 - Consider the model:

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 y_{t-1} + \beta_2 z_t + \beta_3 z_{t-1} + u_t, \text{ with } |\beta_1| < 1$$

- y_{t-1} ensures that $\mathbb{E}(u_t|X) = 0$ **(TS.3) cannot be satisfied**
- \Rightarrow OLS be biased.
- (Given stationarity and weak dependence (requires $|\beta_1| < 1$),) OLS will be consistent if we assume
 - $\mathbb{E}(u_t|x_t) = \mathbb{E}(u_t|y_{t-1}, z_t, z_{t-1}) = 0$ **(TS.3')** is reasonable
 - Requires that y_{t-1}, z_t and z_{t-1} are uncorrelated with u_t .

Large-Sample Analysis of OLS for IV

- For validity of the usual SEs, and test statistics, we will need to add the assumptions of homoskedasticity and zero autocorrelation:
 - **TS.40 Homoskedasticity:** $Var(u_t|\mathbf{x}_t) = \sigma^2$
 - Weaker and more natural than TS.4 ($Var(u_t|\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T) = \sigma^2$)
 - The error variance at time t does not depend on regressors x_t at time t .
 - **TS.50 No Serial Correlation:** For all $t \neq s$, $\mathbb{E}(u_t u_s | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_s) = 0$
 - If we include lagged dependent variable(s), Assumption TS.50 is more likely to be satisfied
 - In fact, the goal is often to make it hold. The key point is this: if we include enough lagged y and possibly other variables there cannot be any serial correlation.

Large-Sample Analysis of OLS for V

Once we add these two assumptions (homoskedasticity and no serial correlation), we can rely on the standard inference procedures we used in cross section analysis.

Theorem

(**Asymptotic normality of OLS for Time Series**): Under the assumption of Stationarity and Weak Dependence, TS.1, TS.2, and TS.3'-TS.5', the OLS estimators is approximately normally distributed as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, usual t-test, F-test and confidence interval are all asymptotically valid.